



PATENT  
Customer No. 22,852  
Attorney Docket No. 08049.0952-01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)	
	)	
John H. Bridges, III, et al.	)	Group Art Unit: 2881
	)	
Application No.: 10/684,635	)	Examiner: James J. Leybourne
	)	
Filed: October 14, 2003	)	
	)	
For: ANTHRAX REMEDIATION AND	)	Confirmation No.: 3706
RESPONSE	)	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION**

This is a response to the Office Action mailed on October 18, 2005, the period for response to which has been extended to April 18, 2006 by a petition for three months extension of time. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims in view of the following remarks.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 25-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rupp, U.S. Patent 5,261,460 in view of Stewart et al., U.S. Patent publication 2003/0037812.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 25-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). As admitted by the Examiner, the cited references, even if combined as suggested by the Examiner, fail to present a *prima facie* case of obviousness. "To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all of the claim limitations